labor "in accordance with the rates in force for soldiers of the national army". 47 Stat. 2021 (Article 34). have documented that the wage scale of Japanese American internees ranged from \$12-16 per month, while U.S. military wages ranged from \$21-50 per month, including liberal fringe benefits. e.g., M. Weglyn, Years of Infamy 115 (1976). Similarly, private employers frequently requested Japanese Americans as laborers, paying them unconscionably low salaries. For example, as one Congressional report indicated, one farmer had been paying \$ 1.80 per 100 pounds of crops harvested but indicated he would pay the "going wage" to the Japanese internees -- "probably 45¢ per hour." Select Committee Investigating National Defense Migration, Fourth Interim Report, 77th Cong., 2d Sess. (May 1942). While Japanese Americans were never formally accorded the status of prisoners of war, the U.S. Government had agreed to apply the standards of the Geneva Agreement to Japanese Americans incarcerated in the camps. 19

These violations of the rights of Japanese Americans constituted badges and incidents of slavery that remain unremedied to this date. Despite their achievements, Japanese Americans continue to live under the stigma of inferiority and have yet to achieve equality with their white counterparts in all aspects of American life. Since Congress is empowered to define and abolish such badges, we urge the Commission to recommend that Congress define the incarceration of Japanese Americans and those violations arising from the incarceration as badges and incidents of slavery and legislate an appropriate remedy to abolish them.



Legal Bases for Monetary Reparations to Japanese Americans

The Supreme Court has recognized Congress's primary authority to pay a moral debt by formulating broad equitable remedies for classwide injustice. See United States v. Choctaw Nation, 179

U.S. 494 (1900). Under American case law and international precedents, there are two possible forms of relief for Japanese Americans which Congress should consider: 1) direct monetary payments to individuals incarcerated in the camps; and 2) enabling legislation that would permit individuals to bring private suits in federal court. Neither approach would violate separation of powers principles, even in the face of prior adjudications and settlements under the American-Japanese Evacuation Claims Act of 1943 or existing Supreme Court decisions upholding various aspects of the incarceration. See Pope v. United States, 323 U.S. 1, 9-10 (1944); United States v. Sioux Nation, U.S., 100 S. Ct. 2716, 2731 (1980).

Direct Monetary Reparations

The recognition of a moral debt or obligation by a government is not without precedent among the international community of nations. In 1956, the Federal Republic of Germany (hereinafter "FRG") enacted the Federal Compensation Law [Bundesentschädigungsgesetz] (hereinafter "BEG"). This comprehensive set of laws resulted from Germany's recognition of its obligation to make moral and material amends to the victims of Nazi persecution, even though the FRG government was not the government in power when the wrongs were committed. The FRG was under no legal obligation

to provide restitution. See Honig, The Reparations Agreements

Between Israel and the Federal Republic of Germany, 48 Am. J. Int'l

L. 564 (1954). Nonetheless, as Konrad Adenauer emphasized in his

speech before the Bundestag in 1951, "[U]nspeakable crimes were

perpetrated in the name of the German people which impose upon

them the obligation to make moral and material amends, both with

regard to individual damage which Jews have suffered and with

regard to Jewish property for which there are no longer claimants."

See Schwerin, German Compensation for Victims of Nazi Persecution,

67 Northwestern U. L. Rev. 479, 482-83 (1972).

The BEG confers statutory protection to several groups of persons defined as "persecutees" victimized by the Nazis. Significantly, standing is conferred automatically to members of certain racial or religious groups who claim one of the categories of damages compensated by the BEG. The BEG allows for the recovery of damages for those who suffered loss of life; damage to limb or health; loss of liberty, property or possessions; or harm to vocational or economic pursuits. BEG ¶1.

We recommend that the Commission examine the specific aspects of Germany's Federal Compensation Law in considering the remedy of direct monetary payments to Japanese Americans.

More importantly, however, we urge recognition of the principle adopted by the German government: that the U.S. government acknowledge its moral obligation to redress the wrongs committed against Japanese Americans during a time of national crisis and racist hysteria.

Enabling Legislation

As an alternative to direct monetary reparations, Congress may also enact enabling legislation to remove the procedural barriers that currently prevent Japanese Americans from pursuing redress in the courts.

reasons. First, the widespread injuries suffered by those incarcerated during World War II occurred almost forty years ago.

Thus, the legal claims for uncompensated personal injuries and property losses are now barred by statutes of limitations. Second, the American-Japanese Evacuation Act of 1948 (hereinafter Claims Act)²¹ constituted a vehicle--albeit grossly inadequate²²--to compensate the real and personal property losses suffered by Japanese Americans during World War II. For those individuals who filed claims that were adjudicated or settled under this Act, there might exist potential problems of res judicata, the doctrine which bars the relitigation of claims that were or could have been decided.

Finally, the doctrine of sovereign immunity bars direct suits against the federal government for monetary damages unless that immunity has been expressly waived.

Congress has the power to enact legislation waiving government defenses such as the statute of limitations and resjudicata. See, e.g., United States v. Sioux Nation, supra, 100 S. Ct. at 2731; Pope v. United States, 323 U.S. 1, 9 (1944); Cherokee Nation v. United States, 270 U.S. 476, 486 (1926).



Likewise, Congress can authorize suits against the U.S. government through limited waivers of its sovereign immunity. See, e.g.,

Federal Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1291 et seq.

We therefore urge that the Commission recommend, as one alternative, enabling legislation that would permit Japanese Americans to adjudicate their individual claims in federal court.

Conclusion

The incarceration of Japanese Americans in concentration camps during World War II will serve as a continuing reminder of the delicate nature of individual rights in a democratic society. With the hindsight of history, it is clear that racial prejudice and wartime hysteria easily overrode well-established constitutional principles. Yet, this massive violation of the rights of 120,000 Japanese Americans was sanctioned by all branches of the U.S. government and to this day has never been fully redressed.

It is time for our government to acknowledge its full moral responsibility for the injustices inflicted upon Japanese Americans incarcerated because of their race and national origin. We therefore urge the Commission to recommend strong affirmative measures that will enable Japanese Americans to be compensated for their losses and to insure that such violations of fundamental constitutional rights will never be repeated in the future.

FOOTNOTES

- 1. See generally Y. Ichihashi, Japanese in the United States (1932); R. Daniels, The Politics of Prejudice: The Anti-Japanese Movement in California and the Struggle for Japanese Exclusion (1968); F. Chuman, The Bamboo People: The Law and Japanese Americans (1976).
- 2. C. McWilliams, Prejudice: Japanese Americans--Symbol of Racial Intolerance (1944).
- 3. See Higham, American Immigration Policy in Historical Perspective, 21 Law & Contemp. Problems 213, 227 (1956).
- 4. Immigration Act of 1924, 43 Stat. 153.
- 5. Immigration and Nationality Act of 1952, P.L. No. 82-414, 66 Stat. 163.
- 6. Immigration and Nationality Act of 1965, 8 U.S.C. §§ 1151-56.
- 7. Act of May 19, 1913, ch. 113, Cal. Stats. 1913; Initiative Measure adopted Nov. 2, 1920, Cal. Stats. 1921, p. lxxxiii.
- 8. See McGovney, The Anti-Japanese Land Laws of California and Ten Other States, 35 Cal. L. Rev. 7 (1947); Ferguson, The California Alien Land Law and the Fourteenth Amendment, 35 Cal. L. Rev. 61 (1947).
- 9. Game Laws, § 2, ch. 339, Cal. Stats. 1923.
- 10. Ch. 417, Cal. Stats. 1915.
- 11. Fish & Game Code, ch. 181, § 990, Cal. Stats. 1945.
- 12. The Thirteenth Amendment, ratified in 1865, provides:
 - Section 1. Neither slavery nor involuntary servitude, except as punishment for crime whereof the party shall have been duly convicted, shall exist within the United States or any place subject to their jurisdiction.
 - Section 2. Congress shall have the power to enforce this article by appropriate legislation.
- 13. "The plain intent was to abolish slavery of whatever name and form, and all its badges and incidents; to render impossible any state of bondage; to make labor free, by prohibiting that control by which the personal service of one man is disposed of or coerced for another's benefit which is the essence of involuntary servitude." Bailey v. Alabama, 219 U.S. 219, 241 (1911). The Thirteenth Amendment was intended to be "a direct ban against many of the evils radiating out from the system of slavery as well as a prohibition against the system itself." tenBroeck, The Thirteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, 39 Calif. L. Rev. 171, 180 (1951).

- 14. For a description of the harsh living conditions, see F. Chuman, The Bamboo People: The Law and Japanese Americans 144-45 (1976).
- 15. [1948] U.S. Code Cong. & Ad. News 2298.
- 16. D. Myer, Uprooted Americans--The Japanese Americans and the War Relocation Authority During World War II 43 (1971).
- 17. Today, the same right to make and enforce contracts and to enjoy the full and equal benefits of all laws is protected by 42 U.S.C. § 1981 (1964). This provision was first included as part of the Civil Rights Act of 1866, which was enacted pursuant to Congress's power under the Thirteenth Amendment.
- 18. D. Myer, supra note 16, at 42.
- On July 27, 1929, the United States and forty-six other countries signed the Geneva Convention, which set forth minimum standards of treatment applicable to prisoners of war. 47 Stat. 2021 (1932). After the outbreak of World War II and the internment of Japanese Americans, the American government assured Japan it would abide by the provisions of the treaty with respect to "any civilian enemy aliens that may be interned." Telegram from Cordell Hull, Secretary of State, to Huddle, Charge in Switzerland, Dec. 18, 1941, reprinted in Foreign Relations of the United States --Diplomatic Papers 792 (1942) (Vol. 1). Moreover, as the Assistant Secretary of State emphasized: "[t]he Department [of State] has a responsibility -- because of the reciprocal treatment provision in the Geneva Convention -- in connection with internment camps, relocation centers and prisoners of war camps in this country where Japanese citizens and American citizens of Japanese race are confined." Letter from Breckenridge Long, Assistant Secretary of State, to Cordell Hull, Secretary of State, Dec. 17, 1943, reprinted in M. Weglyn, Years of Infamy, 190-91 (emphasis added).
- 20. See U.S. Comm'n on Civil Rights, Success of Asian Americans: Fact or Fiction? 9, 10, 12 (1980); U.S. Dep't of HEW, A Study of Selected Socio-Economic Characteristics of Ethnic Minorities Based On the 1970 Census, Vol. II: Asian Americans 86, 88 (1974).
- 21. 50 U.S.C. app. §§ 1981-1987 (1951 & Supp. 1981).
- 22. The Claims Act was an inadequate remedy since it excluded claims based on personal injury, physical inconvenience and hard-ship, mental suffering and loss of anticipated profits or earnings.

Barbelez - Gil

Maria Maria

JACA

Treas. In apr of statement UCLA Asian American Studies Center Betty Hasegawa 5 920 w. Lawrence Au-Oren Grata Chicago, De 60840 Hus McCaurphels Heuryton 1 Heuryton 1 20895 (3/2) 561-0859 yuka fuji Kura (min fasur) 14814 Car Rockville, MD 20853 (Minis SISTER Ugasui Titus Tryans 114-11th It. 5E Wash DC 20013, pyc Wash Muchin Te live Nadine Mucher (212) 887-4995 ABC Nite-line