

6-10-85

JUDGE VOORHEES

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

GORDON K. HIRABAYASHI,)
)
 Petitioner,)
)
 vs.)
)
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
)
 Respondent.)
 _____)

NO. C83-122V
PETITIONER'S HEARING
MEMORANDUM

RODNEY L. KAWAKAMI
ATTORNEY AT LAW
T & C BLDG., SUITE 201
671 SOUTH JACKSON ST.
SEATTLE, WA 98104
206/682-9932

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>Page</u>
<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1
<u>FACTS</u>	2
I. OFFICIALS OF THE WAR DEPARTMENT ALTERED AND DESTROYED EVIDENCE AND WITHHELD EVIDENCE FROM THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, SUPREME COURT, AND PETITIONER	2
II. OFFICIALS OF THE WAR DEPARTMENT AND THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT SUPPRESSED INTELLIGENCE REPORTS WHICH REFUTED ALLEGED DISLOYALTY AND ESPIONAGE ACTS OF JAPANESE AMERICANS	3
III. THE GOVERNMENT FAILED TO ADVISE THE SUPREME COURT OF THE FALSITY OF THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE FINAL REPORT	7
IV. THE GOVERNMENT'S ABUSE OF THE DOCTRINE OF JUDICIAL NOTICE AND THE MANIPULATION OF THE AMICUS BRIEFS CONSTITUTED A FRAUD UPON THE COURTS	7
<u>DISCUSSION</u>	8
I. BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT DEPRIVED PETITIONER OF HIS RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS UNDER THE FIFTH AMENDMENT, HE IS ENTITLED TO RELIEF BY WRIT OF ERROR <u>CORAM NOBIS</u>	8
II. THE PROSECUTIONS'S USE OF FALSE EVIDENCE AND THE SUPPRESSION OF MATERIALLY FAVORABLE EVIDENCE IN THE PETITIONER'S TRIAL AND APPEALS CONSTITUTED A DENIAL OF DUE PROCESS AND REQUIRES THAT PETITIONER'S CONVICTIONS BE VACATED	11
III. DUTY TO DISCLOSE EXCULPATORY EVIDENCE EXTENDS TO INVESTIGATIVE AGENCIES	24
IV. GOVERNMENT ATTORNEYS ARE IMPUTED WITH KNOWLEDGE OF WAR DEPARTMENT AND OTHER INVESTIGATIVE AGENCIES	25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

	<u>Page</u>
V. THE PROSECUTIONS'S BAD FAITH IN INTENTIONALLY ALTERING AND DESTROYING EVIDENCE MATERIAL TO PETITIONER'S DEFENSES VIOLATED PETITIONER'S DUE PROCESS RIGHTS	28
VI. THE GOVERNMENT OWES PETITIONER AND THE COURTS A CONTINUING DUTY TO DISCLOSE	30
VII. GOVERNMENT ABUSE OF JUDICIAL NOTICE AND MANIPULATION OF AMICUS BRIEFS VIOLATED PETITIONER'S RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS AND CONSTITUTED A FRAUD ON THE COURTS	31
VIII. CONCURRENT SENTENCE DOCTRINE REJECTED	32
IX. LACHES DEFENSE FAILS AS A MATTER OF LAW AND EQUITY ...	34
<u>CONCLUSION</u>	39

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Ashley v. Texas</u> , 319 F.2d 80 (5th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> , 375 U.S. 931 (1963)	22
<u>Barbee v. Warden, Maryland Penitentiary</u> , 331 F.2d 842 (4th Cir. 1964)	26,27
<u>Brady v. Maryland</u> , 373 U.S. 83 (1963)	28
<u>Freeman v. Georgia</u> , 599 F.2d 65 (5th Cir. 1979), <u>cert. denied</u> , 444 U.S. 1013 (1980)	26
<u>Giglio v. United States</u> , 405 U.S. 150 (1972)	23,27
<u>Hazel-Atlas Glass Co. v. Hartford-Empire Co.</u> , 322 U.S. 238 (1944), <u>overruled on other grounds</u> <u>Standard Oil of California v. United States</u> , 429 U.S. 17 (1976)	38,39
<u>Hirabayashi v. United States</u> , 320 U.S. 81 (1943)	Passim
<u>Hohri v. United States</u> , 586 F. Supp. 769 (D.D.C. 1984)	35
<u>Hysler v. Florida</u> , 315 U.S. 411 (1942)	23
<u>Imbler v. Craven</u> , 298 F. Supp. 795 (C.D. Cal. 1969), <u>aff'd, sub nom. Imbler v. State of California</u> , 424 F.2d 631 (9th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> , 400 U.S. 865 (1970)	23
<u>Imbler v. Pachtman</u> , 424 U.S. 409 (1976)	31
<u>Keystone Driller Co. v. General Excavator Co.</u> , 290 U.S. 240 (1933)	38
<u>Korematsu v. United States</u> , 323 U.S. 214 (1944)	7,21,30 35
<u>Mooney v. Holohan</u> , 294 U.S. 103 (1935)	12,20,21 23
<u>Precision Instrument Mfg. Co. v. Automotive Maintenance Mach. Co.</u> , 324 U.S. 806 (1945)	37

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES, continued

	<u>Page</u>
<u>Pyle v. Kansas</u> , 317 U.S. 213 (1942)	23
<u>Ray v. United States</u> , 588 F.2d 601 (8th Cir. 1978)	27
<u>Toscano v. C.I.R.</u> , 441 F.2d 930 (9th Cir. 1971)	39
<u>United States v. Agurs</u> , 427 U.S. 97 (1976)	12, 13 14, 20, 21
<u>United States v. Arra</u> , 630 F.2d 836 (1st Cir. 1980) ...	29, 30
<u>United States v. Augenblick</u> , 393 U.S. 348 (1969)	29
<u>United States v. Bryant</u> , 439 F.2d 642 (D.C. Cir. 1971)	24, 25, 29
<u>United States v. Butler</u> , 567 F.2d 885 (9th Cir. 1978)	25, 26
<u>United States v. Caldwell</u> , 543 F.2d 1333 (D.C. Cir. 1975), <u>cert. denied</u> , 423 U.S. 1087 (1976)	24
<u>United States v. DeBright</u> , 730 F.2d 1255 (9th Cir. 1984)	33
<u>United States v. Heiden</u> , 508 F.2d 898 (9th Cir. 1974)	28, 29
<u>United States v. Henry</u> , 487 F.2d 912 (9th Cir. 1973)	29
<u>United States v. Morgan</u> , 346 U.S. 502 (1954)	8, 22, 34
<u>United States v. Sheehan</u> , 442 F. Supp. 1003 (D. Mass. 1977), <u>aff'd</u> 542 F.2d 1163 (1st Cir. 1976)	30, 31
<u>United States v. Taylor</u> , 648 F.2d 565 (9th Cir.), <u>cert. denied</u> 454 U.S. 866 (1981)	9, 10, 11 12, 15, 20 22, 32

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES, continued

Page

Statutes

Public Law No. 503	1, 13, 14 23
28 U.S.C. Section 1651(a)	8
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 33	13

Other Authority

Dembitz, <u>Racial Discrimination and the Military Judgment:</u> <u>The Supreme Court's Korematsu and Endo Decisions</u> , 45 Colum. L. Rev. 175 (1945)	31
---	----